

Refereeing form

Referee:

.....

Title of manuscript:

.....
.....
.....

Please return the manuscript, the comments on the manuscript and the confidential comments to the editor(s) at the address below within 3 weeks of receipt.

If you cannot review the paper please return it immediately so that another referee may be chosen.

Recommendation

..... (1) Accept the manuscript as it stands

..... (2) Revision is necessary

..... (3) Rewrite and resubmit

..... (4) Reject manuscript

In the case of (2) or (3), please make clear notes of necessary changes in the manuscript itself or on a separate sheet of paper, which will be sent to the author.

In the case of (4), please make clear to the editors why the manuscript should be rejected. Your comments will be treated confidentially.

Please comment on the questions overleaf.

Editors:

Susanne V. Knudsen, NIKK, Nordic Institute for Women's Studies and Gender Research, P.O. Box 1156 Blindern, NO-0317 Oslo, Norway, E-mail: susanne.knudsen@nikk.uio.no, Tel +47 22 85 89 31, Fax +47 22 85 89 50.

Elisabet Rogg, Senter for kvinne- og kjønnsforskning, Universitetet i Oslo, PB 1040 Blindern, 0315 Oslo, Norway E-mail: elisabet.rogg@skk.uio.no, Tel: +47 22 85 8932 Fax: +47 22 85 89 50

Comments on the manuscript

1 = no, not at all

2 = no

3 = perhaps to some extent

4 = yes

5 = yes, to a high degree

Does the manuscript offer new data and/or new insights?	1 2 3 4 5
Can the manuscript be read by subscribers from different disciplines?	1 2 3 4 5
Does the manuscript have methodological interest?	1 2 3 4 5
Does the manuscript have theoretical interest?	1 2 3 4 5
Are the references up to date?	1 2 3 4 5
Is the manuscript written in clear English?	1 2 3 4 5
Is the style of the manuscript accessible?	1 2 3 4 5
Is the content consistent?	1 2 3 4 5
Is the manuscript structured?	1 2 3 4 5
Are the tables and/or figures adequate?	1 2 3 4 5
Are the titles of the tables and/or figures appropriate?	1 2 3 4 5
Does the manuscript carry a clear introduction?	1 2 3 4 5
Does the manuscript carry a clear conclusion?	1 2 3 4 5
Is the manuscript in line with the aims and the scope of the journal?	1 2 3 4 5
Does the manuscript have Nordic relevance?	1 2 3 4 5
Are the references and footnotes arranged according to the manual of style?	1 2 3 4 5