

*International Journal of Biodiversity Science
& Management*

Manuscript review form

Manuscript #:

Reviewer:

Title of manuscript:

Date when manuscript was sent to reviewer:

Deadline for review:

Please consult the editorial policies and guidelines for reviewers before completing the form.

POINTS FOR REVIEWERS TO CONSIDER

Are the title and abstract appropriate?

Are the figures and tables (and maps, if any) relevant?

Are the references adequate/excessive/insufficient?

Do the data support the conclusions?

Is the work sound from the point of view of concept, method, and technique applied?

Please tick all points applicable (click in box)

Does the paper provide	yes	no
a) an important contribution to its field?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) a contribution that is useful but not of fundamental importance?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) novel ideas/concepts/techniques?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) new application of existing concepts and techniques?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e) a useful synthesis in its field?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f) other important considerations? (If yes, please specify on separate sheet)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
g) are all figures and tables complete and accurate?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Please tick what is applicable:

- I have returned the manuscript with marginal comments (included on the manuscript).
 I enclose comments on a separate sheet/e-mail/Word file.
 As far as I know, this manuscript has not been published before.

REVIEWER'S RECOMMENDATION

Please tick one option only

- accept unconditionally
 accept with minor changes as indicated
 accept with major revisions
 reject

Comments: or ask to rewrite completely

Thank you for completing and returning this form by e-mail to:

Jin Park, International Journal of Biodiversity Science and Management, Centre for Mountain Studies,
Perth College-UHI, Crieff Road, Perth PH1 2NX, UK; jin.park@perth.uhi.ac.uk.

International Journal of Biodiversity Science & Management

Aims and Scope

International Journal of Biodiversity Science and Management (IJBSM) focuses on all aspects of biodiversity, from basic research to modelling, management and policy. Contributions addressing natural and semi-natural ecosystems, agricultural, urban and forested systems, fisheries, and biotechnology – and particularly those that integrate data across temporal and spatial scales – are welcomed. Articles may be either interdisciplinary or drawn from fields such as landscape ecology, biochemistry, genetics, conservation and development, tourism, participatory management, indigenous peoples and their knowledge, cultural, religious uses and values, trade and environment, and law and policy. Please see www.tandf.co.uk/journals/tbsm for further information.

Guidelines for reviewers

General: Manuscripts of all papers submitted to the editors for publication are sent to two independent reviewers who have expertise in the subject discussed. Reviewers are requested to assess the value of the present manuscript from a scholarly and research point of view and to comment on the value of the work presented in the manuscript. Manuscripts must be handled as confidential and protected from distribution prior to publication.

Clarity of presentation: The reviewers' task is to comment on the viability of the work as a whole, the accuracy of the methods and results, the originality, etc. For many readers, English is not the native language. It is therefore important that the papers be written in a clear and concise style. Once a manuscript is approved for publication by the reviewers, the editors will work on improving its style and presentation, especially when authors' fluency in English is not satisfactory.

Manuscript Review Form: Reviewers are invited to complete a Manuscript Review Form and to add comments on the Form or on separate sheets if necessary. The review process is confidential; reviewers' names are not disclosed unless explicitly requested by reviewers.

Deadlines for review: All research papers are submitted to preliminary review by the Editor-in-Chief. Once a paper is found acceptable for review, the Editorial Office contacts appropriate reviewers. Reviewers are then usually given four weeks to review a paper.

Editors' duties

After completion of the review process and acceptance of the paper, the Editorial Office will complete the copy editing. This includes improving presentation and style, correcting grammar and spelling if necessary, and ensuring quality of figures and tables for reproduction. Authors are asked to comply with a style sheet for references.